As the COVID-19 pandemic spreads, social and economic relief measures—including fiscal stimulus and expansion of social safety nets—are crucial to prevent poverty and hunger from rising dramatically in developing countries. Rob Vos, David Laborde and Will Martin estimate this impact globally, finding that over 140 million additional people could fall into extreme poverty in 2020, including 80 million in Africa and 42 million in South Asia. Food insecurity would rise along with poverty. Without support, this global health crisis could thus cause a major poverty and food crisis.—Johan Swinnen, series co-editor and IFPRI Director General.
Using IFPRI’s global model, we examined some of the likely impacts of the downturn for poverty worldwide and regionally.

Under the assumptions (see box below), we project a downturn in global economic growth of 5% in 2020. This projection is broadly similar to the recent IMF forecast, which shows a downturn of the world economy from the 2%-3% growth anticipated pre-pandemic to an actual decline of 3%.

Our scenarios, however, indicate the poorest nations face significantly greater adversity. The recession that has already started in Europe and the U.S. is projected to depress economic activity across developed countries by 6% on average in 2020, despite an expected rebound later in the year as social distancing measures are lifted and stimulus measures take effect. This recession will spill over to the rest of the world through lower demand for trade and lower commodity prices. Developing economies will be hurt by the economic fallout caused by their own social distancing measures and by increased morbidity affecting the labor supply for farming and other business activity.

**TABLE 1 COVID-19 Global Economic Recession in 2020 (April 2020 IFPRI Global Reference Scena**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Real GDP</th>
<th>Household consumption</th>
<th>Export of goods (value in constant dollars)</th>
<th>Agrifood Real Value Added</th>
<th>Agrifood exports (value in constant dollar)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>World</td>
<td>−5.0</td>
<td>−1.0</td>
<td>−20.9</td>
<td>−1.8</td>
<td>−24.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developed countries</td>
<td>−6.2</td>
<td>−0.1</td>
<td>−23.5</td>
<td>−3.1</td>
<td>−23.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developing countries</td>
<td>−3.6</td>
<td>−2.5</td>
<td>−18.0</td>
<td>+0.1</td>
<td>−30.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Africa South of Sahara</td>
<td>−8.9</td>
<td>−3.2</td>
<td>−35.2</td>
<td>+3.9</td>
<td>−20.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Asia</td>
<td>−5.0</td>
<td>−3.7</td>
<td>−27.1</td>
<td>−2.0</td>
<td>−30.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South-East Asia</td>
<td>−7.0</td>
<td>−4.2</td>
<td>−27.7</td>
<td>−2.8</td>
<td>−31.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin America</td>
<td>−5.9</td>
<td>−4.4</td>
<td>−30.8</td>
<td>−3.9</td>
<td>−28.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: Authors based on simulations with MIRAGRODEP mc*

For developing countries as a group, the economic fallout would lead to a decline of their aggregate GDP of 3.6%, but economies in Africa south of the Sahara, Southeast Asia and Latin America would be hit much harder due to their relatively high dependence on trade and primary commodity exports. The recession is expected to be less severe in China and the rest of East Asia, where we expect the economic recovery to start sooner with the earlier lifting of containment measures.

We expect economies in Africa to be hit hardest (almost a 9% decline). But agri-food sectors may be spared and expand, as the collapse in export earnings and loss of capacity to import food push up domestic production. Lower labor demand in urban service sectors may push workers to return to agriculture, also contributing to greater domestic food production. With more workers in the sector, however, individual incomes would remain low.
Without social and economic mitigation measures such as fiscal stimulus and expansion of social safety nets, the impact on poverty would be devastating. (The scenario does not consider any such responses, as yet.) In addition to the 20% global increase in extreme poverty noted above, the scenario indicates urban and rural populations in Africa south of the Sahara would suffer most, as 80 million more people would join the ranks of the poor, a 23% increase. The number of poor in South Asia would increase by 15% or 42 million.

As these estimates refer to the extreme poor, i.e., those who typically lack sufficient means to buy enough food, we expect a commensurate rise in the number of food-insecure people.

**FIGURE 1  Impact of COVID-19 Global Economic Crisis on Extreme Poverty**

Heading off this dire outcome—a potential massive increase in global poverty and hunger—calls for an unprecedented policy response. High-income countries and international organizations should work to provide low- and middle-income countries with the necessary fiscal space and import capacity to expand health and social protection programs, strengthen food supply chains, and ensure adequate and affordable food supplies. Honoring the multiple calls for official and commercial debt relief would also help.

But even these measures are unlikely to be enough. There should also be concerted efforts to keep trade channels open to avoid piling an unnecessary food price crisis on the current health and economic disasters facing the world. We have developed a tracker to monitor these potentially damaging policy measures. In addition, large amounts of fresh, additional, low-conditionality funding will be needed to stave off a large-scale food crisis and invest in resilient food supply chains.
Key assumptions for the scenario analysis

All countries affected by COVID-19 implement social distancing measures covering on average 40%-50% of the population for between 2 and 3 months.

International travel is essentially shut down, closing many tourism-related activities.

Social distancing measures allow only essential work, such as food production and distribution, under normal conditions. We assume further that, on average, one third of skilled workers can continue to work effectively via various forms of telecommuting.

The containment measures cause bottlenecks and delays in international transport, pushing up freight costs by 3%.

While agriculture and food sectors have been identified as essential in most countries, we also assume some supply disruption caused by reduced labor mobility (e.g., for seasonal migrant labor) and further, that perishable farm products suffer greater post-harvest losses of 5% due to logistics problems and demand fallout.

The present scenario accounts for the economic stimulus packages being implemented by countries in North America and in Europe, including significant income transfers to households. The scenario does not consider any additional international support or government stimulus in developing countries.

Detailed results of the scenario analysis can be found here. In the coming weeks we will conduct further scenario analyses to identify needs for and impacts of mitigating action.

David Laborde and Will Martin are Senior Research Fellows with IFPRI’s Markets, Trade, and Institutions Division (MTID); Rob Vos is the Director of MTID. The analysis and opinions expressed in this piece are solely those of the authors.
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