

POLICY BRIEF REFUGEES AND HOST ECONOMIES



Task Force 9 MIGRATION AND YOUNG SOCIETIES

Authors yusuf emre akgündüz, abdurrahman b. aydemir, murat güray kırdar, semih tümen

موجز السياسة اللاجئون والاقتصادات المستضيفة



فريق العمل التاسع مجرة والمجتمعات الشابة (۲۵۸)

المؤلفون يوسف امرى أكغوندوز، عبد الرحمن ب. أيدمير، مراد غوري كردار، سميح تومين



The number of refugees worldwide exceeded 25 million in 2019, and this number continues to trend upwards. The increase in refugees presents major challenges to countries hosting refugees. This policy brief identifies several policy recommendations for countries hosting refugees and highlights areas for international cooperation necessary for response to the refugee crises. The challenges are divided and discussed in four main areas: labor markets, firms, education, and humanitarian aid. The policy recommendations in each area are based on existing and ongoing research of refugee crises with a particular focus on the findings from the large-scale Syrian refugee crisis in Turkey.

تجاوز عـدد المهاجرين 25 مليونًا على مسـتوى العالم، ومـا زال هـذا الرقـم يميـل نحـو الزيادة. وتمثـل زيادة المهاجرين تحديًا جوهريًا للبلـدان المسـتضيفة للاجئين. يحـدّد هـذا الملخـص عـدة توصيات سياسـية للبلـدان المسـتضيفة للاجئيـن، ويسـلط الضـوء على مجـالات التعـاون الدولي الضرورية للتجـاوب مـع أزمـة اللاجئيـن. وتنقسـم التحديات وتجـري مناقشـتها على أربعـة محـاور: سـوق العمل، والمؤسسـات، والتعليم، والمسـاعدة الإنسـانية. تقـوم توصيات السياسـة في كل محـور على الأبحـاث الموجـودة والجارية لأزمـة اللاجئيـن، مـع تركيز خاص على نتائج أزمـة اللاجئيـن السـوريين واسـعة النطـاق فـي تركيا.



The United Nations reports that, as of 2019, the number of refugees worldwide has exceeded 25 million people (UNHCR 2020). The arrival of large numbers of refugees represents a significant challenge for host country economies and policymakers, and this challenge is multi-faceted. Refugee inflows have immediate effects on local labor markets, firms and businesses, education, and social infrastructure. Simultaneously, policymakers must consider the long-run integration of refugees into local economies and their education and integration into the host society at large.

This brief presents a discussion of four key areas for policy intervention: labor markets, firms, education, and humanitarian aid. The recent Syrian refugee crisis in Turkey¹ has been studied extensively, and findings from these analyses provide important insights into key policy areas. Refugees differ significantly from economic migrants in circumstance and motivation for migration. Nevertheless, the lessons from the extensive literature on international migration are also used to draw potential policy recommendations for refugee-hosting countries.

Labor markets

The challenge in the labor market in the backdrop of a large refugee influx is to integrate refugees into the labor market while minimizing their potential adverse effects on the local society. Some studies (Bozzoli, Bruck, and Wald 2013; Calderon-Mejia and Ibáñez 2016; Morales 2017) find a negative effect of internal displacement in Colombia on wages of residents of the hosting regions. Meanwhile, Fallah, Kraft, and Wahba (2018) find no adverse effects of Syrian refugees in Jordan on employment and the wage outcomes of members of the hosting community. Other studies report that forced displacement could also generate jobs for the local population (see, for example, Alix-Garcia and Bartlett 2015; Alix-Garcia et al. 2018; Ruiz and Vargas-Silva 2015).

Turkey currently hosts the most refugees in the world, most of whom are Syrians who fled the civil war in their country. However, few Syrian refugees in Turkey have work permits.² As Syrians living in urban areas have to work to sustain their lives, several hundred thousand have joined the informal workforce (Caro 2020).³ Hence, many hold vulnerable jobs. The policy challenge is, therefore, not only to find jobs for refugees but also to improve their working conditions. Additionally, to minimize refugees'

^{1.} Officially, Syrians in Turkey are under temporary protection status rather than under refugee status.

^{2.} A total of 76,188 work permits were issued for Syrian refugees by the end of 2018 (Turkish Ministry of Labor and Social Security n.d.).

^{3.} A survey by İnsani Gelişme Vakfı estimates the total number of employed Syrians as 650,000 in May 2017, when the total number of Syrians in Turkey was about 3 million.

potential adverse effects on the local labor market outcomes, we need to identify the native groups who are most likely to be affected by the refugee influx. Aksu, Erzan, and Kirdar (2019) uncover the distributional effects of the Syrian refugee shock in the Turkish labor market. They find that it is the most vulnerable groups in the labor market—such as temporary wage workers, less-educated or young workers in the informal sector, and women who are part-time employed or self-employed—who are adversely affected. At the same time, they find that native employment in the formal sector expands.

Firms

The overarching goal for policymakers from the local firms' perspective is to efficiently integrate the skills and capital brought in by refugees to the local economy. Simultaneously, policymakers must be aware that the refugee inflows represent an increase in the abundance of low skilled labor, which can lead to long-term changes in the input mix of firms towards more labor-intensive forms of production. This composition effect is further exacerbated if the refugees work informally, and therefore, encourage informal forms of production.

Two mechanisms are key to understanding the impact of refugee inflows on hosting regions' firms. First, refugees' consumption adds to and therefore increases domestic demand. Higher demand implies sales growth for firms in hosting regions but can also lead to inflationary pressures, particularly in non-tradable sectors such as housing (Balkan et al. 2018). Second, while refugees can and do bring their own capital to hosting countries, their primary economic input is labor. Large scale refugee inflows imply an increase in the abundance of (primarily low-skilled) labor in hosting regions (Tumen 2016).

Education

Refugees typically do not return to their home countries quickly (Cortes 2004). The integration of refugee children into the host country education system is, therefore, crucial for the long-term socio-economic welfare of refugees and regions hosting refugees. This requires an increase in local physical and human capital resources for schooling and education. Beyond an increase in the capacity of the existing education infrastructure, intensive and remedial support programs may be necessary for refugee children to integrate fully. If the education infrastructure becomes strained, disadvantaged native children may also be negatively affected, despite the increasing value of education for natives due to the pressures induced by the arrival of refugees in local labor markets (Betts 1998).

During the initial stages of a refugee crisis, host-country policies typically prioritize

emergency humanitarian needs such as food, shelter, health, and security. Subsequently, hosting countries shift their focus toward long-term challenges. Improving the educational outcomes of refugee children and addressing any direct/indirect impact of the refugee influx on the educational outcomes of natives are among the most important of those challenges.

Humanitarian aid

Refugees are vulnerable to several economic and social problems in host countries (Food Security Information Network [FSIN] 2018; World Food Programme [WFP] 2013; Chattas et al. 2018) and the policies required to make choices about the targeting and delivery of aid that aims to improve the welfare of refugees. This requires a detailed assessment of the needs that helps to determine the adequacy of the type and amount of aid and target resources towards the most vulnerable.⁴ In the choice between cash and in-kind, average impacts are demonstrated to depend on context and program design (Gentilini 2015). The cost of cash transfers and vouchers tends to be significantly lower than in-kind transfers, and cash transfers tend to be more effective than food in enhancing food consumption (Doocy et al. 2017; Taylor 2016).

Policymakers must consider the wider influence of delivering aid on the receiving society. There is evidence for small price increases as a result of cash transfer programs (Angelucci and De Giorgi 2009; Cunha, De Giorgi, and Jayachandran 2019). Food aid to refugees, however, has been demonstrated to lead to lower prices in Tanzania. Cash transfers to refugees within the Turkish context led to positive effects on the labor market but also led to price increases for some food items and in the housing market. There are also significant effects on services (Aydemir and Duman 2020). Cash transfers can also be considered as non-wage income and cause informal, low paid, and irregular work in the labor market among beneficiaries (Turkish Ministry of Family, Labour, and Social Services [MoFLSS] 2018).

^{4.}The Emergency Social Safety Net (ESSN) programme in Turkey adopts this strategy for aid delivery and follows the beneficiaries over time to assess the extent to which aid for refugees helps alleviate vulnerabilities (Cuevas et al. 2019; WFP 2018).



Labor market

1. G20 countries should identify the local populations in the labor market that are adversely affected by the refugee inflow, and implement policies to protect them. In the hosting countries, it is generally the most vulnerable groups in the local labor markets who are adversely affected by the refugee shock—such as temporary wage workers, less-educated, and young workers in the informal sector, and part-time or self-employed women. Moreover, evidence exists in several contexts that refugees raise consumer prices by increasing demand, which implies that poverty might increase among vulnerable local populations (Alix-Garcia and Saah 2010; Aksu, Erzan, and Kirdar 2009). Native workers in the informal sector are vulnerable in the case of a job loss, as they are not qualified for unemployment insurance. Hence, active labor market policies to improve the employability of these groups should be utilized. At the same time, unconditional cash transfer policies and similar support programs—which are available for refugees—should be extended to cover natives in similarly vulnerable conditions.⁵ In this context, international donors should help vulnerable native populations as well as refugees.

2. G20 countries should facilitate occupational upgrading for native workers.

In the context of Syrian refugees in Turkey, Aksu, Erzan, and Kirdar (2019) illustrated that the arrival of refugees pushes natives from the informal to the formal sector. Akgündüz and Torun (2020) found that the arrival of Syrians pushed native workers into more complex jobs by increasing the intensity of more abstract and routine tasks at the expense of manual tasks. Similarly, using data on 15 European countries, D'Amuri and Peri (2014) found that immigrants push natives into more complex jobs. Therefore, active labor market policies should be developed for natives to improve their skills and find jobs that require these improved skills.

^{5.} One such program is the Eligibility to Emergency Social Safety Net (ESSN). It targets the refugee population and runs through several criteria (such as high dependency ratio, disability, female household heads, and many children).

3. G20 countries should implement policies for better labor market integration of refugees.

- a. When refugees cannot speak the primary language of the host country (e.g., Syrian refugees in Turkey), language education that is free and easy to access should be provided.
- b. Migrants face the downgrading of their skills upon arrival (Dustmann, Frattini, and Preston 2013). Hence, validation mechanisms of the home-country credentials of refugees with vocational and occupational education should be developed. Moreover, further education and training to update these skills for the labor market conditions of the host country should be provided. Additionally, refugees should have access to active labor market policies, such as job training provided to natives.
- c. Legal obstacles, such as quotas on refugee employment, preventing refugees' formal employment, should be eliminated.
- d. Recently, Fasani, Frattini, and Minale (2018) illustrated that polices that prevent the free movement of refugees result in lasting adverse consequences on labor market outcomes. Hence, policies enforcing migrants to stay in certain regions should be relaxed.
- e. In the refugee contexts where female labor force participation is traditionally low (due to economic or cultural reasons), additional policies specifically targeting refugee women should be implemented.
- f. Policies that prevent discrimination against refugees should be implemented.

Firms

1. G20 countries should support refugees establishing firms and the legal inflow of capital.

It is important to recognize that refugees also bring their own capital and entrepreneurial skills. Refugees can contribute to total firm openings, which can help meet the demand for goods that the refugee communities will demand. Additionally, 1 percent of all firms in Turkey in 2015 were opened by Syrian refugees, which is a relatively significant contribution (TEPAV 2018). This increase in new firms does not appear to be at the cost of native firms opening in hosting regions, as the overall number of firms in regions hosting Syrian refugees in Turkey rose (Aksu, Erzan, and Kirdar 2019; Akgündüz et al. 2020). Refugee entrepreneurship in host regions can be supported by programs aimed at teaching refugees the legal systems of the host countries and facilitating access to credit in local financial markets. There is also room for G20 level cooperation to support fast track visa programs for refugee entrepreneurs (Sak et al. 2018).

2. G20 countries can help facilitate refugee participation in international trade.

Recent literature has highlighted that refugee and native skills can be considerably different. Refugees' social networks, language skills, and knowledge of different markets can play a role in facilitating exports and imports of firms operated by natives in hosting regions. Host countries should ideally support the increase in export-import activities of firms in regions hosting refugees to take advantage of refugees' skills.⁶ Standard export promoting programs, such as subsidized export loans and subsidies for international exposure activities for SMEs can be intensified in refugee-hosting regions with potential support at the G20 level.

3. G20 countries must discourage an increase in informal employment and businesses.

Due to refugees' relatively low wage demands and initial difficulties in obtaining work permits, they are often employed informally at a low cost (Tumen 2016). While this may be unavoidable in the short-term, long-term informal employment can have negative consequences for firm composition. As firms using formal labor will be disadvantaged, they will either shrink or begin using informal labor themselves. If smaller firms can employ informal refugees more easily, the incentives for firm growth would be limited, and markets with numerous small firms may be optimal from a business perspective. Such a change in the composition of firms would be undesirable if large firms drive productivity and economic growth, as suggested by previous literature (Van Biesebroeck 2005). The rapid expansion of work permits among refugees and reducing the cost of formal employment in hosting regions can be considered to reduce the informal employment of refugees.

6. See Ottaviano and Peri (2013), Cohen, Gurun, and Malloy (2017), and Parsons and Vézina (2018) for some recent evidence and discussion on migrants and trade.

4. G20 countries should take into account the concerns about long-term productivity.

The rise in the availability of low-cost labor can also lead to adjustments in firms' input decisions. As labor is cheaper, firms may opt out of capital investments. Such an adjustment can be beneficial for short-term employment but lead to long-term productivity concerns if refugees move to formal and higher-wage employment after arrival or return to their native countries after the end of a crisis. These effects have been previously documented for immigration in Germany, and similar adjustments in the capital intensity of production are found in the case of Syrian refugees in Turkey (Dustmann and Glitz 2015; Akgündüz and Torun 2020). Investment subsidies to regions hosting refugees can be considered to mitigate the negative effects on longterm productivity.

Education

1. G20 countries should aim to integrate refugee children into the education system in host countries.

Refugee crises are typically long-lasting, and evidence suggests that the majority of refugees do not return home after the crisis is over (Cortes 2004). Providing basic education and options for higher education to refugee children is necessary to minimize future socio-economic risks (Tumen et al. 2020). Refugee children need additional academic and language training support as they lag during the process of adaptation into a new culture, and they may also need counseling support as they experience trauma from war, conflict, and displacement (Ozden, Polat, and Tumen 2020). Adaptation to the education system in host countries is relatively easy and quick at an early age, but it is difficult for adolescents. Therefore, integration efforts should start in early childhood (Tumen et al. 2020). Male adolescents tend to drop out of school before high school age and enter the labor market, mostly through informal jobs with low skill requirements. Female adolescents tend to get involved in housework and engage in early marriages (El Arab and Sagbakken 2019). Compared to general secondary education, vocational secondary education may be a more viable option to keep adolescents in school for a longer period.

2. G20 countries should invest in local school resources and infrastructure in hosting regions.

Refugee-to-population ratios exhibit substantial heterogeneity across regions within host countries—mostly due to correlations in refugees' location preferences and availability of economic opportunities (Tumen 2016). In some regions, the number of refugees even exceeds the native population—such as some sub-regions of Bekaa in Lebanon and Kilis in Turkey. This generates severe region-specific pressures on the availability of school resources and physical infrastructure. Idle educational resources, such as teachers/staff and teaching material, should be effectively shifted toward the congested regions. Physical capacity should be extended by constructing new schools, expanding the old ones, and acquiring mobile facilities. Anecdotal evidence suggests that failing to increase the availability of school resources and infrastructure may also cause unrest and negative attitudes toward refugees among native parents. Increasing the availability of resources and physical capacity can be very costly for host countries. Therefore, this burden should be shared among the international community through appropriately designed financing facilities. For example, in Jordan and Turkey, refugee students are almost entirely absorbed by public schools (Tumen 2018; Assaad, Ginn, and Saleh 2018). This means that the cost of a refugee student to the education system is equal to the cost of a native student plus the additional cost of aid in the form of stationery, transportation, food, and clothes, in addition to the conditional cash transfers provided to parents to increase school attachment levels of refugee children. Overcrowded schools, due to increased refugee concentration in the region, also require additional support in the form of teaching, counseling, cleaning, and security staff.

3. G20 countries should address the direct effects of refugees on natives' educational outcomes.

There is vast literature that has investigated the direct impact of immigration on native children's educational outcomes. The general consensus is that immigrants/refugees either crowd out natives of education or reduce their test scores due to a combination of factors such as a limited command of English and within-class negative externalities (Betts 1998; Hoxby 1998; Borjas 2007). The negative effect is more pronounced for disadvantaged natives. Tumen (2019a) argues that Turkish natives tend to shift their children from public to private schools in response to increased refugee concentration. These negative direct effects can be addressed by (i) strengthening school resources and infrastructure, (ii) training teachers and school administrators, (iii) providing remedial academic support and language training to refugee children (Ozden, Polat, and Tumen 2020), (iv) allocating refugees to schools and classrooms to minimize the potential risks, (v) developing and implementing specific curricula/ material (Alan et al. 2020), and (vi) developing healthy and effective communication with parents through outreach activities.

4. G20 countries should monitor the indirect effects of refugees on natives' education outcomes.

In addition to the direct effects, refugees may also affect the educational outcomes of natives indirectly. Refugees are typically employed in jobs with lower skill requirements (Ceritoglu et al. 2017). The competition between refugees and unskilled natives reduces employment opportunities for less-skilled natives and generates a downward pressure on wages in the lower segment of the labor market (Tumen 2016; Akgündüz and Torun 2019). The decline in labor market opportunities for natives due to increased competition for low-skill jobs may encourage high school and higher education enrollment among native youth (Hunt 2017; Tumen 2018; Tumen 2019b; Bossavie 2019). This suggests that the hosting governments should effectively monitor the long-term changes in demand for education and other general equilibrium effects to develop timely policies aiming to address the potential imbalances.

Humanitarian aid

1. G20 countries in their aid efforts towards refugees should ask recipient countries to identify the vulnerabilities among refugees before program delivery.

While most refugees are vulnerable and face difficulties along several dimensions, the extent of such difficulties varies across refugee households and regions (Cuevas et al. 2019). Equipped with this information, the adequate level of aid and targeting can be planned. Follow up of aid recipients, and non-recipients provide the necessary information for adjusting the programs to improve the delivery of services.

2. G20 countries should factor in the indirect effects of aid on receiving societies.

Aid to refugees has indirect effects that must be considered. Cash and in-kind transfers help improve the welfare of recipients. In large scale programs, there may also be significant indirect effects on prices, labor market, and demand for services. The intended effects of a cash aid may be hampered if aid leads to substantial price hikes. This may also have negative consequences on other consumers. The cash transfer programs may also lead to increased demand for services, such as demand for schooling, and have negative effects on the host community (Aydemir and Duman 2020). The resources should also be devoted to natives who are vulnerable to being crowded out of services.

International aid in hosting countries should take into account the costs associated with indirect effects. There may be substantial costs associated with expanding services as the host country attempts to keep up with increased demand resulting from large scale cash transfer programs. Host governments may need to increase available resources and physical capacity, such as hiring more teachers or building new schools, which can be a substantial burden on government finances.⁷ Therefore, aid programs should factor in these indirect costs, and the international community should share these indirect costs along with the direct costs of program delivery.

3. While designing humanitarian aid, G20 countries should also plan for an exit strategy for refugees to become self-reliant over the long run.

While humanitarian aid is essential in the short run to alleviate the vulnerabilities that refugees face, continued aid over the long-term can lead to dependency on aid, reduce incentives for work, and may encourage informality. Therefore, aid programs should plan for an exit strategy whereby refugees become self-sufficient over the long-term.

^{7.} In the Turkish context, the government spending on refugees amounted to USD 40 Billion as of January 2020 (Financial Times 2020). The EU Facility for Refugees in Turkey has so far committed €6 Billion to assist Turkey in dealing with the refugee challenge (EU 2020).

Disclaimer

This policy brief was developed and written by the authors and has undergone a peer review process. The views and opinions expressed in this policy brief are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the official policy or position of the authors' organizations or the T20 Secretariat.



Akgündüz, Yusuf Emre, and Huzeyfe Torun. 2020. "Two and a Half Million Syrian Refugees, Tasks, and Capital Intensity." Journal of Development Economics 154.

Akgündüz, Yusuf Emre, Yusuf Kenan Bağir, Seyit Mümin Cilasun, and Murat G. Kirdar. 2020. Consequences of Refugee Inflows for Firm Performance and Market Structure. Unpublished manuscript.

Aksu, Ege, Rifik Erzan, and Murat G. Kirdar. 2019. "The Impact of Mass Migration of Syrians on The Turkish Labor Market." Working Paper.

Alan, Sule, Ceren Baysan, Mert Gumren, and Elif Kubilay. 2020. Building Inter-Ethnic Cohesion in Schools: An Intervention on Perspective Taking. Unpublished manuscript, EUI.

Alix-Garcia, Jennifer, and David Saah. 2010. "The Effect of Refugee Inflows on Host Communities: Evidence from Tanzania." The World Bank Economic Review 24 (1): 148–170.

Alix-Garcia, Jennifer, and Bartlett, Anne. 2015. "Occupations under Fire: The Labor Market in a Complex Emergency." Oxford Economic Papers 67 (3): 687–714.

Alix-Garcia, Jennifer, Sarah Walker, Anne Bartlett, Harun Onder, and Apurva Sanghi. 2018. "Do Refugee Camps Help or Hurt Hosts? The Case of Kakuma, Kenya." Journal of Development Economics 130: 66–83.

Angelucci, Manuela, and Giacomo De Giorgi. 2009. "Indirect Effects of an Aid Program: How Do Cash Transfers Affect Ineligibles' Consumption?" The American Economic Review 99 (1): 486–508.

Assaad, Ragui, Thomas Ginn, and Mohamed Saleh. 2018. "Impact of Syrian Refugees in Jordan On Education Outcomes for Jordanian Youth." Economic Research Forum Working Paper Series #1214.

Aydemir, A., and E. Duman. 2020. The Effects of ESSN Cash Transfers on National and Local Economy. Unpublished manuscript.

Balkan, Binnur, Elif Ozcan Tok, Huzeyfe Torun, and Semih Tumen. 2018. "Immigration, Housing Rents, and Residential Segregation: Evidence from Syrian refugees in Turkey." Working paper. Betts, Julian R. 1998. "Educational Crowding Out: Do Immigrants Affect the Educational Attainment of American Minorities?" In Help or Hindrance? The Economic Implications of Immigration for African-Americans, edited by D. Hamermesh and F. Bean. New York, NY: Russell Sage Foundation.

Borjas, George J. 2007. "Do Foreign Students Crowd Out Native Students from Graduate Programs?" In Science and the University, edited by P. Stephan and R. Ehrenberg. Madison, WI: University of Wisconsin Press.

Bossavie, Laurent. 2019. "The Effect of Immigration on Natives' School Performance: Does Length of Stay in the Host Country Matter?" Journal of Human Resources, forthcoming.

Bozzoli, Carlos, Tilman Bruck, and Nina Wald. 2013. "Self-employment and Conflict in Colombia." Journal of Conflict Resolution 57 (1): 117–142.

Calderon-Mejia, Valentina, and Ana Maria Ibáñez. 2016. "Labour Market Effects of Migration-Related Supply Shocks: Evidence from Internal Refugees in Colombia." Journal of Economic Geography 16(3); 695–713.

Caro, Luis P. 2020. "Syrian Refugees in the Turkish Labour Market." ILO Office in Turkey. Last modified February 09, 2020. https://www.ilo.org/wcmsp5/groups/public/--europe/---ro-geneva/---ilo-ankara/documents/publication/wcms_738602.pdf.

Ceritoglu, Evren, Hatice Burcu Gürcihan Yunculer, Huzeyfe Torun, and Semih Tumen. 2017. "The Impact of Syrian Refugees on Natives' Labor Market Outcomes in Turkey: Evidence from a Quasi-Experimental Design." IZA Journal of Labor Policy 6(1): 5.

Cohen, Lauren, Umit G. Gurun, and Christopher Malloy. 2017. "Resident Networks and Corporate Connections: Evidence from World War II Internment Camps." The Journal of Finance 72 (1): 207–248.

Cortes, Kalena E. 2004. "Are Refugees Different from Economic Immigrants? Some Empirical Evidence on The Heterogeneity of Immigrant Groups in the United States." Review of Economics and Statistics 86: 465–480. Cuevas, P. Facundo, O. Kaan Inan, Aysha Twose, and Çiğdem Çelik. 2019. "Vulnerability and Protection of Refugees in Turkey: Findings from the Rollout of the Largest Humanitarian Cash Assistance Program in the World." World Bank and World Food Programme.

Cunha, Jesse M., Giacomo De Giorgi, and Seema Jayachandran. 2019. "The Price Effects of Cash Versus In-Kind Transfers." The Review of Economic Studies 86 (1): 240–281.

D'Amuri, Francesco, and Giovanni Peri. 2014. "Immigration, Jobs, and Employment Protection: Evidence from Europe before and during the Great Recession." Journal of the European Economic Association 12: 432–464.

Doocy, Shannon, Hannah Tappis, Emily Lyles, Joseph Witiw, and Vicki Aken. 2017. "Emergency Food Assistance in Northern Syria." Food and Nutrition Bulletin 38 (2): 240–259.

Dustmann, Christian, Tommaso Frattini, and Ian Preston. 2013. "The Effect of Immigration Along the Distribution of Wages." Review of Economic Studies 80 (1): 145–73.

Dustmann, Christian, and Albrecht Glitz. 2015. How Do Industries and Firms Respond to Changes in Local Labor Supply? Journal of Labor Economics 33 (3): 711–750.

El Arab, Rabie, and Mette Sagbakken. 2019. "Child Marriage of Female Syrian Refugees in Jordan And Lebanon: A Literature Review." Global Health Action 12 (1): 1585709.

EU (European Union). 2020. "The EU Facility for Refugees in Turkey." https://ec.europa.eu/neighbourhood-enlargement/news_corner/migration_en.

Fallah, Belal, Caroline Kraft, and Jackline Wahba. 2018. "The Impact of Refugees on Employment and Wages in Jordan." Economic Research Forum, Working Paper No. 1189.

Fasani, Francesco, Tommaso Frattini, and Luigi Minale. 2018. "(The struggle for) Refugee Integration into The Labour Market: Evidence from Europe." CEPR Discussion Paper 12718. Financial Times. 2020. "Turkey Eyes More EU Aid as Funding Pays Off for Syrian Refugees." January 20, 2020. https://www.ft.com/content/7abb5212-1c2b-11ea-97df-cc63d-e1d73f4.

Food Security Information Network. 2018. "Global Report on Food Crises 2018."

Ghattas, Hala, Jad Chaaban, Nisreen Salti, Alexandra Irani, Tala Ismail, and Lara Batlouni. 2018. "Poverty, Food Insecurity, And Health of Palestinian Refugees in Lebanon and Recently Displaced from Syria To Lebanon: Findings from the 2015 Socioeconomic Household Survey." The Lancet 391 (Special Issue, S11, February 21, 2018).

Gentilini, U. 2015. "Revisiting the 'Cash versus Food' Debate: New Evidence for an Old Puzzle?" The World Bank Economic Observer 31: 135–167.

Hoxby, Caroline M. 1998. "Do Immigrants Crowd Disadvantaged American Natives Out of Higher Education?" In Help or Hindrance? The Economic Implications of Immigration for African-Americans, edited by D. Hamermesh and F. Bean. New York, NY: Russell Sage Foundation.

Hunt, Jennifer. 2017. "The Impact of Immigration on the Educational Attainment of Natives." Journal of Human Resources 52: 1060–1118.

Morales, Juan S. 2017. "The Impact of Internal Displacement on Destination Communities: Evidence from the Colombian Conflict." Journal of Development Economics 131: 132–150.

Ministry of Family, Labour, and Social Services. 2018. "First Office of the Presidency of Turkey, Exit Strategy from the ESSN Program."

Ottaviano, Gianmarco, and Giovanni Peri. 2013. "New Frontiers of Immigration Research: Cities and Firms." Journal of Regional Science 53 (1): 1–7.

Ozden, Caglar, Sezgin Polat, and Semih Tumen. 2020. Backing Up Refugee Education: Estimates from an After-School Remedial Intervention. Unpublished manuscript, TED University. Parsons, Christopher, and Pierre-Louis Vézina. 2018. "Migrant Networks and Trade: The Vietnamese Boat People as a Natural Experiment." The Economic Journal 128 (612): F210–F234.

Ruiz, Isabel, and Carlos Vargas-Silva. 2015. "The Labor Market Impacts of Forced Migration." American Economic Review Paper and Proceedings 105 (5): 581–586.

Sak, Güven, Timur Kaymaz, Omar Kadkoy, and Murat Kenanoglu. 2018. "Forced Migrants: Labour Market Integration and Entrepreneurship." Economics: The Open-Access, Open-Assessment E-Journal 12 (2018-32): 1–13.

Taylor, J. Edward. 2016. "Refugees Can Bolster a Region's Economy." Harvard Business Review, October 5, 2016.

TEPAV. 2018. Syrian Entrepreneurship and Refugee Start-Ups in Turkey: Leveraging The

Tumen, Semih. 2016. "The Economic Impact of Syrian Refugees on Host Countries: Quasi-Experimental Evidence from Turkey." American Economic Review 106: 456– 460.

Tumen, Semih. 2018. "The Impact of Low-Skill Refugees on Youth Education." IZA Discussion Paper #11869.

Tumen, Semih. 2019a. "Refugees and 'Native Flight' from Public to Private Schools." Economics Letters 181: 154–159.

Tumen, Semih. 2019b. "The Effect of Refugees on Native Adolescents' Test Scores: Quasi-experimental Evidence from PISA." ERF Working Paper #1356.

Tumen, Semih, Michael Vlassopoulos, Jackline Wahba, and Yves Zenou. 2020. Mixing in Early Childhood: Evidence from Syrian Refugees in Turkey. Unpublished manuscript.

Turkish Ministry of Labor and Social Security. n.d. Labor Statistics, Vols. 2011–2016. General Directorate of Labor. UNHCR (United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees). 2020. "Global Trends: Forced Displacement in 2019." Last modified June 18, 2020. https://www.unhcr. org/5ee200e37.pdf.

Van Biesebroeck, Johannes. 2005. "Firm Size Matters: Growth and Productivity Growth in African Manufacturing." Economic Development and Cultural Change 53 (3): 545–583.

World Food Programme. 2013. "Syrian Refugees and Food Insecurity in Iraq, Jordan, and Turkey: Secondary Literature and Data Desk Review."

World Food Programme. 2018. "Refugees in Turkey: Comprehensive Vulnerability Monitoring Exercise (Round 2)."



Yusuf Emre Akgündüz Sabanci University

Abdurrahman B. Aydemir Sabanci University

Murat Güray Kirdar Bogazici University

Semih Tümen TED University

t20saudiarabia.org.sa