



Policy Brief

# TOWARDS A GLOBAL AGENDA FOR DIGITALIZATION WITHOUT GREENHOUSE EMISSIONS

*Task Force 2*

Meaningful Digital Connectivity, Cyber  
Security, Empowerment

**Agustín Chiarella**, Center for the Implementation of Public Policies Promoting Equity and Growth (CIPPEC, Argentina)

**Gregorio Martín Quetglas**. University of Valencia (UV, Spain).

**Andrés Ortega**. Elcano Royal Institute (Spain)

**Paula Szenkman**, Center for the Implementation of Public Policies Promoting Equity and Growth (CIPPEC, Argentina)

---

<sup>1</sup> IPAG: The Institute for Policy, Advocacy, and Governance

# Abstract

Reconciling digitalization with decarbonization is one of the essential elements of the global agenda that has not been appropriately addressed, neither at the G-20 nor the COPs levels. Addressing this problem requires national and global measures, both in terms of measuring and raising the awareness among users, citizens, businesses, and public administrations, with regulations that do not strangle the development possibilities of the Global South. This Policy Brief proposes: first, setting up a center to measure and analyze the energy evolution of both ICTs and digitalization. Second, new governance should incorporate environmental regulation responsibilities to the digital sector. Third, acknowledge and respond to the existing gap on global investment in renewable energy and low carbon technology. Fourth, propose the G20's recognition of the carbon footprint of digitalization. By 2040, non-OECD countries will account for 70% of energy demand; the Global South will be central to this issue and should not be left behind in digitalization.

# Challenges

As Information and Communication Technologies (ICT) become ubiquitous, so does their environmental impact (Martín and Ortega, 2021; Le Monde, 2022). The world needs to get to 2030 with its homework done to reach the goal of net zero by 2050. Digitalization has a lot to do with this, both in terms of facilitating the technologies that will make this possible, and not causing more greenhouse gases through its growing electricity consumption. If we miss the 2030 deadline, we will miss the 2050 one as well. This deadline also applies to the ICT sector in its manufacture, use, and elimination of obsolete devices. With improvements in computational efficiency and the greater sophistication of new peripherals, the manufacturing phase is increasingly crucial in the sector's environmental impact (Freitag, Ch. et al. t, 2021).

Digitalization, however, entails a hard choice in its adoption. While it is advanced in the industrialized economies, it is much less so in the Global South and constitutes a necessity for this part of the world. Hence, digitalization must be treated as a common good (Ortega, 2021), and this common good must avoid generating more joint damage in environmental terms. This challenge requires global solutions that reconcile digitalization and decarbonization, as new technologies simultaneously help to provide solutions and use more electricity.


Solutions cannot be the same for the Global north and the Global south, and neither are the contexts within the G20. G20 countries play an important role in digitalization and climate change impact, yet they are responsible for around 75% of global greenhouse gases (GHGs), including land-use change and forestry (Climate Transparency Report, 2021). The G20 countries are not homogeneous; their greenhouse contributions, energy sources, mitigation actions, and compromises vary. The Environmental Performance Index from Yale Center for Environmental Law & Policy is an accurate proxy to understand those differences. It is possible to see G20 countries in top positions and others in much lower positions as 165/166.

Chapter 4 2022 EPI Report

**Table 4-1.** Global rankings, scores, and regional rankings (REG) on Climate Change Mitigation.

RANK	COUNTRY / G-20 (and Spain)	SCORE	REG
2	United Kingdom	91.5	2
51	France	49.5	11
56	Italy	48.2	12
60	Germany	47.2	15
71	Australia	43.8	17
83	Spain	41.3	18
85	Japan	41.2	10
95	Mexico	38.9	19
101	United States of America	37.2	21
108	Argentina	35.5	23
116	South Africa	34.1	24
126	South Korea	30.9	15
128	China	30.4	16
133	Brazil	29.6	23
137	Russia	29.1	11
142	Canada	28.2	22
157	Saudi Arabia	24.8	11
162	Indonesia	23.2	20
165	India	21.7	6
166	Turkey	21.5	19

**Map 4-1.** Global rankings on overall Climate Change Mitigation performance.



Region	Color
Asia-Pacific	Blue
Eastern Europe	Orange
Former Soviet States	Green
Global West	Red
Greater Middle East	Yellow
Latin America & Caribbean	Light Green
Southern Asia	Purple
Sub-Saharan Africa	Dark Purple

Figure 1. Global ranking, scores, and regional ranking (REG) on Climate Change Mitigation  
 Source: Wolf, M. J, Emerson, J. W., Esty, D. C., de Sherbinin, A., Wendling, Z. A., et al. (2022). *2022 Environmental Performance Index*. New Haven, CT: Yale Center for Environmental Law & Policy. [epi.yale.edu](http://epi.yale.edu)

It is possible to observe a similar situation on the digitalization side. In this case, the Digital Competitiveness Ranking works as a proxy to understand the digitalization status of each country. We can also observe different degrees of penetration of digitalization in each of the G20 countries.

Figure 8: Digital competitiveness ranking 2019, 2020 and 2021




Figure 2. Digital Competitiveness Ranking 2019,2020 and 2021

Source: [IMD World Digital Competitiveness Ranking 2021](#)

While G20 countries are at the center of the scene, it is projected that 70% of the future energy demand will come from non-OECD countries in 2040 (IEA, 2018). Therefore, developing countries will be central to this issue too. The Global South must not be left behind in digitalization, and it also has to be able to go through a just green and energy transition.

For the Global South, the challenge is multiple. First, improving access to and opportunities for digitalization for all is a pending matter. Second, at the same time, countries in the Global South are facing a green transition, but with less capacity and time. Moreover, patents of critical technologies for a low-carbon economy (Goldthau et al., 2020) are held almost exclusively by OECD countries and China (China Chinese Academy of ICT, 2021). Furthermore, low-carbon global capital allocation primary destinations are Northern America, Europe, and China (Goldthau, A., Eicke, L., Weko, S. 2020). In other words, the Global South must face these changes with a dependency on access to technologies and capital.

Addressing these problems requires national and global actions (Longaretti and Berthoud, 2021), both in terms of measuring and raising the awareness among users/citizens, businesses,

and public administrations, with regulations that do not strangle the development possibilities of the Global South.

The 26th UN Climate Change Conference held in Glasgow in November 2021 partially addressed the issue of reconciling digitalization and decarbonization; it set a precedent and the opportunity to be addressed by the G20. One of the first problems to highlight and solve is how to measure these effects. An initial solution is to build a neutral and professional body, such as the Cambridge Centre for Alternative Finance (CCAF), an ongoing project created and maintained by the Digital Assets Programme (DAP) at the Cambridge Centre for Alternative Finance, dedicated to analyzing the Bitcoin network power demand.

# Proposals for G20

One of the most significant challenges of our time is reconciling the progress of ICTs and ICT-based applications—known as digitalization—with efforts to achieve a green economy (Langer et al., 2020; Knowles et al. 2022). The first problem is that there is no general agreement on how to consider and build statistics in this respect, nor on whether to include entertainment or the devices, their manufacture, and their disposal as waste when they become obsolete. Furthermore, there is no agreement on the conversion rate of kW/h used by ICTs into tons of CO<sub>2</sub> emitted. Estimates of the current and future carbon footprint in different economic sectors and different regions and countries present worrying divergences. It is important to highlight that the G20 in the last years (Argentina and Saudi Arabia Summits) acknowledged the problem of measuring the digital economy and have made substantial contributions to develop common frameworks to try to produce answers to that issue. However, countries have not yet implemented homogeneous measures and there is still a lack of guidelines on environmental dimensions.

The global digital ecosystem is estimated to be responsible for 3% of global primary energy and 7% of electricity consumption. It implies 2% to 4% of greenhouse gas emissions worldwide and the emissions of 15 million to 25 million CO<sub>2</sub> equivalents, that is twice as much as emissions from air transport (80% associated with travel). In 2019, for example, CAPEX (Computers and Devices, Hardware, Servers, Real Estate, Equipment) and supply chain activities accounted for 23 times more carbon emissions than OPEX (Equipment Maintenance, Salaries, Licenses, Administrative Fees, Cloud Services activities on Facebook).

The first step is to properly measure the origins of the electricity used, which is a key factor in this approach (IEA 2018). The problem is significantly mitigated if the energy is clean (*i.e.* not emitting greenhouse gases, or essentially solar, wind, or even nuclear). It is therefore important to ensure and promote the use of clean energy in ICTs, and in turn, digitalization—especially Artificial Intelligence—can be of great help.

In the meantime, large technology companies are increasingly aware of the need and their own responsibility to reconcile the ecological and digital transitions, not only as a consequence of pressure from public policy, changes in the price of clean energy, and internal developments in the companies themselves, but also in response to public opinion (Hook and Lee 2021).

The growing role of ICTs is not geared towards reducing emissions as underlying efficiency improves. Quite the opposite: their footprint has steadily increased despite becoming much more efficient at transmitting and storing data over the last 50 years. Increased efficiency



almost always leads to increased carbon emissions, as efficiency gains are quickly met by the desire to do and use more ICTs. Hence, we see global emissions rising decade after decade, despite continued efficiency gains in all sectors.

ICTs and digitalization are evolving with extraordinary efficiency gains, but this is not preventing their innovation from lowering their impact on global emissions. The new energy-guzzling development is pressing more on the sector's carbon emissions because blockchain, Machine Learning in Artificial Intelligence, or metaverses, require substantial data centers and high levels of electricity consumption for their operations and cooling (ACM Tech Brief, 2021; Knowles et al, 2022).

Unless we act and take corrective measures, the emissions will continue growing while we need to reduce them. Economic geography plays an essential role in the ITC systems locations, as shown by the current installations of cloud data centers and cryptocurrency mining. Both relate to using energy, refrigeration, and regulations (Ch Freitag et al 2021).

This poses a problem in general terms but particularly for the Global South. This evolution is not homogeneous: for instance, only 28% of sub-Saharan Africa's population has access to the internet (Jackson, 2021) and 200 million people lack access to digital infrastructure in Latin-American (Celis, J. P., & Mendes, M. P. 2021).

Regulation should not strangle its development in its digitalization. Digitalization is needed for all, especially for emerging and developing economies. The impact of regulations and new standards related to the carbon footprint of ICTs and other environmental effects of digitalization need to be measured and understood, considering the asymmetry between the Global South and the Global North. Those changes should contemplate instruments to reduce footprint while reducing the digital divide in the global south to pursue inclusive development.

Digitalization, and especially connectivity as its basis, should be considered and treated as a shared or public good (even if provided by private companies) (Ortega (2021)). However, the provision of clean energy is essential for them, and is a source of income for countries lacking hydrocarbons.

From 2017 to 2019, software and hardware optimizations focused primarily on maximizing performance, bypassing the trend of carbon footprint growth. Governments and industries must address the carbon footprint of ICTs, (Data Centers, digital networks, user devices) and the climatic pros and cons of digitalization in the global economy.

Both assessments are complex and should become a global issue, with global targets and instruments. In many cases, companies are ahead in addressing this challenge as they propose new technologies and applications (big data, machine learning, blockchain, Internet of Things, among others) while only in part incorporating the energy demands they entail.

Complementarity—not conflict—should be generated between the digital and green transitions, while reducing the digital divide requires public, private and public-private policies and collaboration.

Green and digital transitions are inseparable. The ICT footprint in terms of greenhouse emissions must be reduced, yet it is increasing. Thus, the problem should be recognized, measured, and addressed—through better use of ICTs and energy consumption, and in the way devices are manufactured and disposed of—and the digital divide should be tackled with a complementary approach to digital and green transitions.

There is a lack of evidence and policy mechanisms to enforce climate targets across the ICT sector, an issue that was not considered at the G20 Rome Summit, nor at Glasgow COP26.

Public awareness has raised in recent years, with consequences for businesses (which have started to take action) and public administrations (which have started to address it) albeit with approaches still too general. There is knowledge compartmentalization and a lack of connections among the agents responsible for tackling the problems. A change in culture and governance is required. For instance, are proximity data centers, rather than large ones in Northern countries, alternatives to save electricity consumption while contributing to local ICT industries in Southern countries?

The ability of authorities to manage the environmental footprint of digital technology can only be improved by developing tools to measure developments more accurately. Rigorous metrics need to be developed through an environmental barometer to promote best practices across the digital ecosystem nationally and globally.

We propose the following lines of actions:

#### Measurement

**A center to analyze the energy power and consumption evolution of both ICTs and digitalization.** One case study to consider is the Cambridge Centre for Alternative Finance (CCAF). A takeaway to replicate from that center is the creation of a knowledge network of regional resources and capabilities for policymakers, regulators and industry. It allows them to

navigate the digital transformation of the global financial system and enables the unacceptable energy costs of bitcoin to be detected (Cambridge Centre for Alternative Finance. Cambridge Judge Business School 2022).

## Governance and users' cultures

**It is a priority to incorporate a mechanism of coordination between digitalization and environmental policies.** There could be other examples, but the French government developed an attractive policy design to make both types of policies interact. From 2022, the French telecommunications regulator has incorporated responsibility for environmental regulation of the digital sector. The Electronic Communications and Posts Regulatory Authority (Arcep) has new powers over all players in the digital ecosystem in France. Nevertheless, despite the carbon neutrality objective that France has set for 2050, the law does not (yet) require them to reduce their environmental footprint (Laubier, 2022). It is an example to be followed in global terms.

**Guarantee better access to consumption information for citizens and users.** Improving citizens' and users' cultures is also a requirement. Information related to the relationship between the use of data and greenhouse emissions can impact people's behaviour. A French law from 2020 requires telecommunications operators to inform their fixed broadband and mobile subscribers of "the amount of data [they have] consumed and it is equivalent in greenhouse gas emissions". Similar laws could be passed in most of the countries of the North, but also the Global South.

The imperative of aligning the digital and environmental transitions means expanding the ethical focus from human-centered AI and digitalization to an eco-centric approach as part of a reference framework for public policy on digitalization and sustainability at a global level.

## Investment and knowledge

**Acknowledge the existing gap in global investment in renewable energy and work on multilevel funding through international organizations and governments.** There exist significant differences in the distribution of global investment in renewable energy. While in the developing world, significant global investments go to China, India and Brazil, only 12% of the total investment goes to the rest of the developing countries (Goldthau, A., Eicke, L., Weko, S. 2020). Therefore, the global community must acknowledge the gap and work on multilevel funding through international organizations and governments to ensure the required investment.

**Push for the reduction of low carbon technological gaps produced by the concentration of patents through technological exchange.** Climate policies progress; therefore, new regulations

and standards push for more sustainable production methods. A low-carbon footprint ensures a country's products stay competitive in the global market (Goldthau, A., Eicke, L., Weko, S. 2020). Moreover, successful sustainable development and successful energy transition are attached to innovative low-carbon tech (Ockwell et al. 2010; Zhou 2019). Therefore, the G20 should acknowledge the asymmetry between the Global North and Global South and push for a mechanism to exchange and facilitate low-carbon technology.

### **IPCC, COPs and international institutions including the G20.**

**Propose the G20's recognition of the carbon footprint of digitalization.** The last IPCC (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change) Report (April 2022) is explicit: "The existing digital divide, especially in developing countries, and the lack of appropriate governance of the digital revolution can hamper the role that digitalization could play in supporting the achievement of stringent mitigation targets (...) Digital technologies have significant potential to contribute to decarbonization due to their ability to increase energy and material efficiency, make transport and building systems less wasteful, and improve the access to services for consumers and citizens. Yet, if left unmanaged, the digital transformation will probably increase energy demand, exacerbate inequities and the concentration of power, leaving developing economies with less access to digital technologies behind, raise ethical issues, reduce labour demand and compromise citizens' welfare. Appropriate governance of the digital transformation can ensure that digitalization works as an enabler, rather than as a barrier and further strain in decarbonization pathways."

This issue of digitalization without carbonization should be given a priority focus in the next COPs, in particular at the one in Egypt later this year. The issue, that was missing only two years ago, has been given a more prominent treatment, at least in terms of principles, by, for instance, the European Commission in its proposal for the establishment of a "European Declaration on Digital rights and principles for the Digital Decade", in which it states that "to avoid significant harm to the environment, and to promote a circular economy, digital products and services should be designed, produced, used, disposed of and recycled in a way that minimizes their negative environmental and social impact" (European Commission 2022).

The next step should be recognition by the G20 through a similar declaration. The G20 has developed relevant achievements acknowledging digital and energy transition policy agendas. However, the carbon footprint of digitalization has yet to be considered.

## CONCLUSION

G20 countries have committed to leading in shaping a strong, sustainable, balanced, and inclusive world (Riyadh 2020) and have recognized ICT as a path to enhance energy security and market stability through improved energy planning (Rome 2021). Therefore, it is crucial to acknowledge ICT carbon footprint with a north-south impact perspective and establish multilateral dialogue for a policy and cooperation agenda to develop integrated digital and green policies while reducing the global digital divide. In order to do it, it will be essential to build the capacity to measure and analyse the energy evolution of both ICTs and digitalization, incorporate new governance to include responsibility for environmental regulation to the digital sector, and finally, acknowledge and work to close the capital and technological gap in renewable energy and low carbon technology.

# References

- ACM TechBrief, (2021) Computing and Climate Change. *ACM Technology Policy Council* 1 (Nov. 2021). <https://dl.acm.org/>
- Cambridge Centre for Alternative Finance. Cambridge Judge Business School. (2022, April 4). Retrieved April 19, 2022, from <https://www.jbs.cam.ac.uk/faculty-research/centres/alternative-finance/>
- Chinese Academy of ICT (2021) *White paper on Digital Carbon Neutrality* (数字碳中和) <http://www.caict.ac.cn/kxyj/qwfb/bps/202112/P020211220632111694171.pdf>
- Celis, J. P.; Mendes, M. P. (2021). *Digitalización en américa latina y el caribe: Es hora de aumentar la inversión*. Blogs del Banco Mundial. Retrieved April 19, 2022, from <https://blogs.worldbank.org/es/ppps/digitalizacion-en-america-latina-y-el-caribe-es-hora-de-aumentar-la-inversion>
- Climate Transparency Report, 2021. *Comparing G20 Climate Action Towards Net Zero*. Germanwatch. Retrieved June 28, 2022. [https://www.germanwatch.org/sites/default/files/climate\\_transparency\\_highlights\\_report\\_2021.pdf](https://www.germanwatch.org/sites/default/files/climate_transparency_highlights_report_2021.pdf)
- European Commission (2022a): European Declaration on Digital rights and principles for the Digital Decade. COM (2022. 28) <https://ec.europa.eu/newsroom/dae/redirection/document/82703>
- European Commission (2022b): “Platform on sustainable finance: technical working group Part A: Methodological report”, March 2022 [https://ec.europa.eu/info/sites/default/files/business\\_economy\\_euro/banking\\_and\\_finance/documents/220330-sustainable-finance-platform-finance-report-remaining-environmental-objectives-taxonomy\\_en.pdf](https://ec.europa.eu/info/sites/default/files/business_economy_euro/banking_and_finance/documents/220330-sustainable-finance-platform-finance-report-remaining-environmental-objectives-taxonomy_en.pdf)
- Freitag, Ch. et al., (2021) The real climate and transformative impact of ICT: A critique of estimates, trends, and regulations. *Patterns* 2, 9,100340. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.patter.2021.100340>

G20 Summit declarations 2020 and 2021: <http://www.g20.utoronto.ca/2020/2020-g20-leaders-declaration-1121.html> and <http://www.g20.utoronto.ca/2021/211031-declaration.html>

Goldthau, A., Eicke, L., Weko, S. (2020). The Global Energy Transition and the Global South. In: Hafner, M., Tagliapietra, S. (eds) *The Geopolitics of the Global Energy Transition*. Lecture Notes in Energy, vol 73. Springer, Cham. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-39066-2\\_14](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-39066-2_14)

Gupta, U. et al., (2021). Chasing Carbon: The Elusive Environmental Footprint of Computing. *IEEE International Symposium on High-Performance Computer Architecture (HPCA)* pp 854-867 <https://www.computer.org/csdl/proceedings-article/hpca/2021/223500a854/1t0HVFXaAFy>

Hook Leslie and Dave Lee (2021), 'How tech went big on green energy', Financial Times, 10/11/2021, <https://www.ft.com/content/0c69d4a4-2626-418d-813c7337b8d5110d?shareType=nongift>

-  
IEA (2018) World energy outlook. International Energy Agency (IEA), Paris

IEA (2020), 'Energy end-use data collection methodologies and the emerging role of digital technologies', October, <https://www.iea.org/reports/energy-end-use-data-collection-methodologies-and-the-emerging-role-of-digital-technologies>

IPCC (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (2022): Climate Change 2022: Mitigation of Climate Change. <https://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar6/wg3/>

Jackson, B., (2021, December 1). Africa's Digital Infrastructure Revolution. Infrastructure Investor. Retrieved April 18, 2022, from <https://www.infrastructureinvestor.com/africas-digital-infrastructure-revolution/>

Knowles B et al., (2022) Our House Is On Fire: The Climate Emergency and Computing's Responsibility *Communications of the ACM*. (Accepted/In press)

Laubier, C. de. (2022, January 9). Pourquoi le numérique contribue de plus en plus au réchauffement climatique. Le Monde.fr. Retrieved April 19, 2022, from [https://www.lemonde.fr/economie/article/2022/01/09/le-numerique-dans-le-piege-climatique\\_6108779\\_3234.html](https://www.lemonde.fr/economie/article/2022/01/09/le-numerique-dans-le-piege-climatique_6108779_3234.html)

Langer et al., (2020) Analysis. Digitalization and energy consumption. Does ICT reduce energy demand? *Ecological Economics* 176 106760. <https://bertrandkeller.info/assets/P-Lange-DigitalizationEnergyConsumptionDoesICTReduceEnergyDemand>.

*Le Monde* « Pourquoi le numérique contribue de plus en plus au réchauffement climatique », *Le Monde*, 09.01.2022.

[https://www.lemonde.fr/economie/article/2022/01/09/le-numerique-dans-le-piege-climatique\\_6108779\\_3234.html](https://www.lemonde.fr/economie/article/2022/01/09/le-numerique-dans-le-piege-climatique_6108779_3234.html)

Longaretti, Pierre-Yves and Françoise Berthoud (2021) Le numérique, espoir pour la transition écologique ? *Dans L'Économie politique* 2021/2 (N° 90), pp 8- 22 <https://www.cairn.info/revue-l-economie-politique-2021-2-page-8.htm>

Martín, Gregorio and Andrés Ortega (2021): Digitalization with decarbonisation, Working Paper, Elcano Royal Institute. <https://media.realinstitutoelcano.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/02/wp8-2021-martin-ortega-digitalisation-with-decarbonisation.pdf>

Ortega, Andrés (2021): Digitalization as a common good. Contribution to an inclusive recovery, *Global Solutions Journal*, Issue 7, May 2021.

Ockwell DG, Hauma R, Mallett A, Watson J (2010) Intellectual property rights and low carbon technology transfer: conflicting discourses of diffusion and development. *Glob Environ Change* 20:729–738

Zhou C (2019) Can intellectual property rights within climate technology transfer work for the UNFCCC and the Paris Agreement? *Int Environ Agreem* 19:107–122



## ABOUT THE AUTHORS

### **Agustin Chiarella - Center for the Implementation of Public Policies Promoting Equity and Growth (CIPPEC, Argentina)**



Agustin Chiarella is the Project Coordinator for the Center for the Implementation of Public Policies Promoting Equity and Growth (CIPPEC) and an international consultant for the Inter-American Development Bank. He holds a degree in Political Science (University of Buenos Aires) and a Master's in Urban Planning and Policy (University of Illinois). He has more than seven years of working for the public and private sectors, academia, and international organizations. He participated in and led research projects on labor informality, economic development, and resilience in Latin American cities, among other issues. He is a former policy advisor at the City Government of Buenos Aires and a researcher at the Center for Urban Economic Development at the University of Illinois. He is a Master of Public Policies professor at the Austral University (Argentina) and a Fulbright Scholar of 2019.

### **Gregorio Martin Quetglas – University of Valencia (UV, Spain)**



Gregorio Martin Quetglas (Ciudadella. Menorca, 1950). Graduate (June 1972) and Doctor of Mathematical Sciences (December 1975) A retired Professor of Computer Science and AI at the University of Valencia. During these forty years he has published scientific papers, academic textbooks, directed Ph D thesis, presented patents and integrated in several groups of international experts, related with his academic activity, mainly focussed in telematic applications and robotics, im particular in various scientific committees related to the R&D framework programs of the European Union. After retirement. Analysis of the effect of Digitization on employment in two temporal phases: first, following the debate in the West (autor book: “(Un) employment and welfare in the digital age: A reading from Spain”. 2015). Second, studying this problem in the insight of the climate change, a topic with little bibliography by the moment.

## Andres Ortega – ElCano Royal Institute (Spain)



Andrés Ortega, writer, analyst and consultant, is Senior Research Fellow at the Real Instituto Elcano and director of Observatorio de las Ideas (a publication on ideas mining). He has written the first general book on robots published in Spain: *La imparabla marcha de los robots* (the unstoppable march of robots, 2016).

He has been twice (1994-1996 and 2008-2011) Director of the Department of Analysis and Studies (Policy Unit) at the President of the Government's Office.

He developed an extensive career in journalism as London and Brussels correspondent and columnist and editorial writer for *El País*.

He graduated in Political Science (Complutense University of Madrid), and postgraduated as M.Sc. (Econ) in International Relations at the LSE (London School of Economics, 1979).

Other books include *La razón de Europa* (The Reason of Europe, 2004) and *La fuerza de los pocos* (The power of the few, 2007 ). He has also published a novel, *Sin alma* (Without Soul)

**Paula Szenkman, Center for the Implementation of Public Policies Promoting Equity and Growth (CIPPEC, Argentina)**



Paula is Director of the Economic Development Program at the Center for the Implementation of Public Policies Promoting Equity and Growth (CIPPEC). Ex Secretary of Productive Transformation and Undersecretary of Productive Development at the Ministry of Production in Argentina (2015-2019). She was topic chair of the Digital Economy Task Force for G20 Argentine Presidency (2018), and policy advisor to the 2020 Saudi Arabian Presidency. Former senior coordinator of the Economic Development sector at CIPPEC, economist at the Export.Ar Foundation and the Inter-American Institute for Cooperation on Agriculture of the Organization of American States (OAS). She worked on projects with the IADB, the World Bank, BCIE and received scholarships from the Japan International Cooperation Agency (JICA) and the Australian Awards Program of the Australian Department of Foreign Affairs.

Paula has a degree in Economics and a postgraduate degree in Agribusiness from the

University of Buenos Aires (UBA) and is a candidate for a Master's degree in Economics

from the Universidad de San Andrés (UdeSA).